Indian Statistical Institute ISI Digital Commons

Journal Articles

Scholarly Publications

1-1-2019

Gelfand-kirillov dimension of the quantized algebra of regular functions on homogeneous spaces

Partha Sarathi Chakraborty Indian Statistical Institute, Kolkata

Bipul Saurabh Indian Institute of Technology Gandhinagar

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.isical.ac.in/journal-articles

Recommended Citation

Chakraborty, Partha Sarathi and Saurabh, Bipul, "Gelfand-kirillov dimension of the quantized algebra of regular functions on homogeneous spaces" (2019). *Journal Articles*. 1016. https://digitalcommons.isical.ac.in/journal-articles/1016

This Research Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Scholarly Publications at ISI Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Journal Articles by an authorized administrator of ISI Digital Commons. For more information, please contact ksatpathy@gmail.com.

 $See \ discussions, stats, and author \ profiles \ for \ this \ publication \ at: \ https://www.researchgate.net/publication/320075115$

Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of the algebra of regular functions on quantum groups

Article · September 2017

citations 2	;	READS 51	
2 authors:			
0	Partha Sarathi Chakraborty 37 PUBLICATIONS 344 CITATIONS SEE PROFILE	0	Bipul Saurabh Indian Institute of Technology Gandhinagar 14 PUBLICATIONS 15 CITATIONS SEE PROFILE

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



All content following this page was uploaded by Bipul Saurabh on 25 August 2018.

Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of the algebra of regular functions on quantum groups

PARTHA SARATHI CHAKRABORTY, BIPUL SAURABH

September 28, 2017

Abstract

Let G_q be the q-deformation of a simply connected simple compact Lie group G of type A, C or D and $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$ be the algebra of regular functions on G_q . In this article, we prove that the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$ is equal to the dimension of real manifold G.

AMS Subject Classification No.: 16P90, 17B37, 20G42Keywords. Quantized function algebra, Weyl group, Gelfand Kirillov dimension.

1 Introduction

Motivated by the isomorphism theorem of Weyl algebras, Gelfand and Kirillov [9] introduced a measure namely, Gelfand Kirillov dimension (abbreviated as GKdim), of growth of an algebra. For finitely generated commutative algebra \mathcal{A} , the Gelfand Kirillov dimension is same as the Krull dimension of \mathcal{A} and for commutative domains, it equals the transcedence degree of its fraction field (see chapter 4, [9]). In order to give a precise estimate for the growth exponent, Banica and Vergnioux [1] proved that for a connected simply connected compact real Lie group G, GKdim of the Hopf-algebra $\mathcal{O}(G)$ generated by matrix co-efficients of all finite dimensional unitary representations of G is same as manifold dimension of G. In the same article, they mentioned that they do not have any other example of Hopf algebra having polynomial growth. Later DAndrea, Pinzari and Rossi ([4]) extended their result to compact Lie groups (see Theorem 3.1, [4]). But apart from these commutative examples, not much is known about the growth of other Hopf algebras. For many noncommutative Hopf algebras, even the question whether they have a polynomial growth remain unanswered. Therefore it is worthwhile to investigate in this direction. The most natural candidate to investigate is the Hopf algebra of finite dimensional unitary representations of a compact quantum group. In this paper, we take the case of q-deformation of a classical Lie group of type A, C and D and extend the result of Banica and Vergnioux to the noncommutative Peter-Weyl algebra associated with these compact quantum groups.

Let G be a semisimple simply connected compact Lie group of rank n and \mathfrak{g} be the Lie algebra of G. The algebra of functions $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$ on its q-deformation G_q can be defined as the subalgebra of the dual algebra of quantized universal enveloping algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by matrix co-efficients of all finite dimensional admissible representations of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$. In this article, we are mainly interested in the computation of GKdim for $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$. In commutative case i.e. for q = 1, Banica and Vergnioux [1] proved that the GKdim of polynomial algebra $\mathcal{O}(G)$ is equal to the dimension of G as a real manifold. Here we show that in noncommutative case i.e. for 0 < q < 1, the canonical Hopf *-algebra $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$ has GKdim equal to the dimension of real manifold G provided G is of type A, C and D. Moreover, we prove that similar results hold for certain quotient spaces of G_q in type A and C. It answers the query of Banica and Vergnioux by providing examples of noncommutative noncocommutative Hopf algebras having polynomial growth.

Let us give a sketch of the proof. We will assume G to be of type A_n , C_n or D_n . Let $\ell(\omega_n)$ be the length of the longest element ω_n of the Weyl group W_n of G. Let $\mathcal{P}_q(\mathscr{T})$ be the algebra of endomorphisms on $c_{00}(\mathbb{N})$ generated by the endomorphisms $\sqrt{1-q^{2N+2}S}$, $\sqrt{1-q^{2N}S^*}$ and $\beta := q^N$ where S is the left shift operator, S^* is the right shift operator and N is the number operator. Further let $\mathcal{P}(C(\mathbb{T}))$ be the algebra of endomorphisms $c_{00}(\mathbb{Z})$) generated by left shift operator S and right shift operator S^* . It can be shown that the algebra $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$ can be embedded as a subalgebra of $\mathcal{P}(C(\mathbb{T}))^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{P}_q(\mathscr{T})^{\otimes \ell(\omega_n)}$. We show that the algebra generated by the left shift and the right shift in $c_{00}(\mathbb{N})$ has GKdim 2 and the algebra generated by the left shift and the right shift in $c_{00}(\mathbb{Z})$ has GKdim 1. It proves that GKdim of $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$ is less than $2\ell(\omega_n) + n$. Next, we write ω_n as a product of n elements in a certain manner and then using recursion we produce enough number of lineally independent endomorphisms in $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$ to get GKdim of $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$ to be equal to $2\ell(\omega_n) + n$. This completes the proof as the dimension of G as a real manifold is same as $2\ell(\omega_n) + n$.

Organisation of this paper is as follows. Next section is dedicated to the preliminaries on representation theory of the Hopf *-algebra $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$. In the third section, we compute GKdim of the algebra $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$ and prove our main result. In the final section, we prove similar results for Peter Weyl algebra of some quotient spaces.

Throughout the paper algebras are assumed to be unital and over the field \mathbb{C} . Elements of the Weyl group will be called Weyl words. We denote by $\ell(w)$ the length of the Weyl word w. We used SP(2n) instead of more commonly used notation SP(n) for symplectic group of rank n and hence quantum symplectic group is denoted by $SP_q(2n)$. Let us denote by $\{e_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $\{e_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ the standard bases of the vector spaces $c_{00}(\mathbb{N})$ and $c_{00}(\mathbb{Z})$ respectively. The map $e_n \mapsto e_{n-1}$ will be denoted by S and the map map $e_n \mapsto e_{n+1}$ will be denoted by S^* . The map $e_n \mapsto ne_n$ will be called the number operator N. We denote by $\prod_{i=1}^{n} a_i$ the element $a_n a_{n-1} \cdots a_1$. Let T and T' be two endomorphisms of the vector space $c_{00}(\mathbb{Z})^{\otimes l} \otimes c_{00}(\mathbb{N})^{\otimes k}$ and V be a subspace of $c_{00}(\mathbb{Z})^{\otimes l} \otimes c_{00}(\mathbb{N})^{\otimes k}$. We say that $T \sim T'$ on V if there exist natural numbers m_1, m_2, \cdots, m_k and a nonzero constant C such that

$$T = CT'(\underbrace{1 \otimes 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1}_{l \text{ copies}} \otimes q^{m_1N} \otimes q^{m_2N} \otimes \cdots \otimes q^{m_kN})$$

on V. Throughout this paper, q will denote a real number in the interval (0,1) and C is used to denote a generic constant.

2 Quantized algebra of regular functions

In this section, we recall the definition of quantized algebra of regular functions on a simply connected semisimple compact Lie group G and give a faithful homomorphism of this algebra in order to find a new set of generators consisting of endomorphisms of a vector space. For a detailed treatment, we refer the reader to ([7], Chapter 3 in [8]). Let G be a simply connected semisimple compact Lie group of rank n and \mathfrak{g} be its complexified Lie algebra. Fix a nondegenerate symmetric ad-invariant form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on \mathfrak{g} such that its restriction to the real Lie algebra of G is negative definite. Let $\Pi := \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_n\}$ be the set of simple roots. For simplicity, we write the root α_i as i and the reflection s_{α_i} defined by the root α_i as s_i . The Weyl group W_n of G can be described as the group generated by the reflections $\{s_i : 1 \le i \le n\}$.

Definition 2.1. Let $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ be the quantized universal enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{g} . It has a *structure corresponding to the compact real form of \mathfrak{g} (see page 161 and 179, [7]). The Hopf *-subalgebra of the dual Hopf *-algebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ consisting of matrix co-efficients of finite dimensional unitarizable $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules is called the quantized algebra of regular functions on G (see page 96 - 97, [8]). It is denoted by $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$.

Let $((u_{j,\mathfrak{g}}^i))$ be the defining corepresentation of $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$ if G is of type A_n and C_n and the irreducible corepresentation of $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$ corresponding to the highest weight $(1, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$ if Gis of type D_n . In first case, entries of the matrix $((u_{j,\mathfrak{g}}^i))$ generate the Hopf *-algebra $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$. In latter case, they genarate a proper Hopf *-subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}_q(\operatorname{Spin}(2n))$ which we denote as $\mathcal{O}_q(SO(2n))$. The generators of $\mathcal{O}_q(\operatorname{Spin}(2n))$ are the matrix entries of the corepresentation $((z_j^i))$ of $\mathcal{O}_q(\operatorname{Spin}(2n))$ with highest weight $(1/2, 1/2, \dots, 1/2)$. We denote the dimension of the corepresentation $((u_{j,\mathfrak{g}}^i))$ by N_n . We will drop the subscript \mathfrak{g} in $((u_{j,\mathfrak{g}}^i))$ whenever the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is clear from the context. Using a result of Korogodski and Soibelman ([8]), we will now describe all simple unitarizable $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$ -modules.

Elementary simple unitarizable $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$ -modules: Let $d_i = \langle \alpha_i, \alpha_i \rangle / 2$ and $q_i = q^{d_i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Define $\phi_i : U_{q_i}(\mathfrak{sl}(2)) \longrightarrow U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ be a *-homomorphism given on the generators of $U_{q_i}(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$ by,

$$K \longmapsto K_i, \qquad E \longmapsto E_i, \qquad F \longmapsto F_i.$$

By duality, it induces an epimorphism

$$\phi_i^*: \mathcal{O}_q(G) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{q_i}(SU(2)).$$

We will use this map to get all elementary simple unitarizable modules of $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$. Denote by Ψ the following action of $\mathcal{O}_q(SU(2))$ on $c_{00}(\mathbb{N})$ (see Proposition 4.1.1, [8]);

$$\Psi(u_l^k)e_p = \begin{cases} \sqrt{1-q^{2p}}e_{p-1} & \text{if } k = l = 1, \\ \sqrt{1-q^{2p+2}}e_{p+1} & \text{if } k = l = 2, \\ -q^{p+1}e_p & \text{if } k = 1, l = 2, \\ q^p e_p & \text{if } k = 2, l = 1, \\ \delta_{kl}e_p & \text{otherwise }. \end{cases}$$
(2.1)

For each $1 \leq i \leq n$, define an action $\pi_{s_i}^n := \Psi \circ \phi_i^*$ of $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$. Each $\pi_{s_i}^n$ gives rise to an elementary simple $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$ -module V_{s_i} . Also, for each $t \in \mathbb{T}^n$, there are one dimensional $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$ -module V_t with the action $\{\tau_t^n\}$. Given two actions φ and ψ of $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$, define an action $\varphi * \psi := (\varphi \otimes \psi) \circ \Delta$. Similarly for any two $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$ -module V_{φ} and V_{ϕ} , define $V_{\varphi} \otimes V_{\phi}$ as $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$ -module with $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$ action coming from $\varphi * \psi$. For $w \in W_n$ such that $s_{i_1}s_{i_2}...s_{i_k}$ is a reduced expression for wand $t \in \mathbb{T}^n$, define an action $\pi_{t,w}^n$ by $\tau_t^n * \pi_{s_{i_1}}^n * \pi_{s_{i_2}}^n * \cdots * \pi_{s_{i_k}}^n$ and denote the corresponding $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$ -module by $V_{t,w}$. If t = 1, we write the action $\pi_{t,w}^n$ as π_w^n and the associated module $V_{t,w}$ by V_w . We refer the reader to ([8], page 121) for the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2. The set $\{V_{t,w}; t \in \mathbb{T}^n, w \in W_n\}$ is a complete set of mutually inequivalent simple unitarizable left $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$ -module.

Define the endomorphisms $\alpha := \sqrt{1 - q^{2N+2}S}$, $\alpha^* := \sqrt{1 - q^{2N}S^*}$ and $\beta := q^N$ acting on the vector space $c_{00}(\mathbb{N})$. Let $\mathcal{P}_q(\mathscr{T}) \subset \operatorname{END}(c_{00}(\mathbb{N}))$ be the algebra generated by α , α^* and β and $\mathcal{P}(C(\mathbb{T})) \subset \operatorname{END}(c_{00}(\mathbb{Z}))$ be the algebra generated by S and S^* . Given a Weyl word w of length $\ell(w)$, we define a homomorphism $\chi_w^n : \mathcal{O}_q(G) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(C(\mathbb{T}))^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{P}_q(\mathscr{T})^{\otimes \ell(w)}$ such that $\chi_w^n(a)(t) = \pi_{t,w}^n(a)$ for all $a \in \mathcal{O}_q(G)$.

Theorem 2.3. Let ω_n be the longest word of the Weyl group of G. Then the homomorphism

$$\chi_{\omega_n}^n: \mathcal{O}_q(G) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(C(\mathbb{T}))^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{P}_q(\mathscr{T})^{\otimes \ell(\omega_n)}$$

is faithful.

Proof: Consider the enveloping C^* -algebra $C(G_q)$ of the Hopf *-algebra $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$. For each $w \in W_n$ and $t \in \mathbb{T}^n$, one can extend the irreducible representation $\pi_{t,w}^n$ and homomorphism χ_w^n to the C^* -algebra $C(G_q)$ which we will denote by the same symbols. It follows from [10] that the set $\{\pi_{t,w}^n; t \in \mathbb{T}^n, w \in W_n\}$ is a complete set of mutually inequivalent irreducible representations

of $C(G_q)$. It is not difficult to show that if w' is a subword of w then the representation $\pi_{t,w'}^n$ factors through the homomorphism χ_w^n . Since ω_n is the longest word of W_n , it follows that each irreducible representation factors through $\chi_{\omega_n}^n$. As a consequence, the homomorphism $\chi_{\omega_n}^n : C(G_q) \to C(\mathbb{T}^n) \otimes \mathscr{T}^{\otimes \ell(\omega_n)}$ is faithful. Restricting this homomorphism to the subalgebra $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$ proves the claim.

Consider the action χ_e^n of $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$ on the vector space $c_{00}(\mathbb{Z})^{\otimes n}$. It is not difficult to see that $\chi_e^n(a)(t) = \tau_t(a)$ for all $a \in \mathcal{O}_q(G)$. Therefore for any $w \in W$, we have $\chi_w^n = \chi_e^n * \pi_w^n$. We will explicitly write down the endomorphisms $\chi_e^n(u_j^i)$ of $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$ for type A_n , C_n or D_n . For $\mathcal{O}_q(G) = \mathcal{O}_q(SU(n+1))$,

$$\chi_e^n(u_j^i) = \begin{cases} \delta_{ij} 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \dots 1 \otimes \underbrace{S^*}_{n+2-i} \text{th place} & \otimes 1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1 & \text{if } i \neq 1, \\ \delta_{ij} S \otimes S \otimes \dots \otimes S & \text{if } i = 1. \end{cases}$$

For $\mathcal{O}_q(G) = \mathcal{O}_q(SP(2n))$ or $\mathcal{O}_q(\operatorname{Spin}(2n))$,

$$\chi_e^n(u_j^i) = \begin{cases} \delta_{ij} 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \dots 1 \otimes \underbrace{S^*}_{2n+1-i} \text{th place} & \otimes 1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1 & \text{if } i > n, \\ \delta_{ij} 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \dots 1 \otimes \underbrace{S}_{i} \otimes 1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1 & \text{if } i \leq n. \end{cases}$$

Looking at the expression of $\chi_e^n(u_i^i)$, it follows that

$$\chi_w^n(u_j^i) = (\chi_e^n \otimes \pi_w^n)(\Delta(u_j^i)) = (\chi_e^n \otimes \pi_w^n)(\sum_{k=1}^{N_n} u_k^i \otimes u_j^k) = \chi_e^n(u_i^i) \otimes \pi_w^n(u_j^i).$$
(2.2)

3 Main result

In the present section, we show that Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of quantized algebra of regular functions on a simply connected simple compact Lie group G of type A, C or D is equal to the dimension of G as a real manifold. Unless otherwise specified, we denote by $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$ one of the Hopf *-algebras $\mathcal{O}_q(SU(n+1))$, $\mathcal{O}_q(SP(2n))$ or $\mathcal{O}_q(\operatorname{Spin}(2n))$.

Definition 3.1. ([9]) Let A be a unital algebra. The Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of A is given by

$$\operatorname{GKdim}(A) = \sup_{V} \overline{\lim} \, \frac{\ln \dim(V^k)}{\ln k}$$

where the supremum is taken over all finite dimensional subspace V of A containing 1. If A is a finitely generated unital algebra then

$$\operatorname{GKdim}(A) = \sup_{\xi} \overline{\lim} \, \frac{\ln \dim(\xi^k)}{\ln k}$$

where the supremum is taken over all finite sets ξ containing 1 that generates A.

Remark 3.2. The quantity " $\overline{\lim} \frac{\ln \dim(\xi^k)}{\ln k}$ " does not depend on particular choices of ξ and hence one can choose a fixed (but finite) set of generators of A.

We state some properties of Gelfand-Kirillov dimension omitting their straightforward proofs.

- If B is a finitely generated unital subalgebra of A then $GKdim(B) \leq GKdim(A)$ (see [11]).
- $GKdim(A \otimes B) \leq GKdim(A) + GKdim(B).$

Proposition 3.3. $GKdim(\mathcal{P}(C(\mathbb{T}))) = 1$ and $GKdim(\mathcal{P}_q(\mathscr{T})) = 2$.

Proof: Clearly $\{1, S, S^*\}^m = \operatorname{span}\{S^k : -m \le k \le m\}$ and hence $\operatorname{GKdim}(\mathcal{P}(C(\mathbb{T}))) = 1$. To show the other claim, take the generating set of $\mathcal{P}_q(\mathscr{T})$ to be $F = \{1, \alpha, \alpha^*, \beta\}$. From the commutation relations $q\beta\alpha = \alpha\beta$ and $\alpha\alpha^* - \alpha^*\alpha = (1-q^2)\beta^2$, it is easy to see that

$$F^m = \operatorname{span}\{(\alpha^*)^{m_1}\beta^{m_2}\alpha^{m_3} : m_1 + m_2 + m_3 \le m\}.$$

Since $\beta^2 = 1 - \alpha^* \alpha$, we get

$$F^{m} = \operatorname{span}\Big\{\{(\alpha^{*})^{m_{1}}\beta\alpha^{m_{3}}: m_{1} + m_{3} < m\} \cup \{(\alpha^{*})^{m_{1}}\alpha^{m_{3}}: m_{1} + m_{3} \le m\}\Big\}.$$

Hence the dimension of F^m is less than or equal to $(m+1)^2$. Since $\{(\alpha^*)^{m_1}\alpha^{m_3}: m_1+m_3 \leq m\}$ are linearly independent set of endomorphisms, we conclude that the dimension of F^m is greater than or equal to $\binom{m+1}{2}$. Putting together, we get $\operatorname{GKdim}(\mathcal{P}_q(\mathscr{T})) = 2$.

Lemma 3.4. Let ω_n be the longest element of the Weyl group of G. Then one has

$$GKdim(\mathcal{O}_q(G)) \le 2\ell(\omega_n) + n.$$

Proof: By Theorem 2.3, the algebra $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$ can be viewed as a subalgebra of $\mathcal{P}(C(\mathbb{T}))^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{P}_q(\mathscr{T})^{\otimes \ell(\omega_n)}$. Using the properties of Gelfand-Kirillov dimension mentioned above and Proposition 3.3, we have

$$GKdim(\mathcal{O}_q(G)) \le GKdim(\mathcal{P}(C(\mathbb{T}))^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{P}_q(\mathscr{T})^{\otimes \ell(\omega_n)}) \le 2\ell(\omega_n) + n$$

This settles the claim.

In what follows, we will show that equality holds in Lemma 3.4. Our strategy is similar to that given in [3] with some modifications. First we need the following result.

Lemma 3.5. Let α_d be the endomorphism $S\sqrt{1-q^{2dN}}$ of the vector space $c_{00}(\mathbb{N})$. For any fixed $j, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leq i \leq j$, let $T_i \sim \alpha_d^i (\alpha_d^*)^{i+k}$ on $c_{00}(\mathbb{N})$. Then elements of the set $\{T_i : 0 \leq i \leq j\}$ are linearly independent endomorphisms.

Proof: Enough to prove for k = 0. Consider the set $\{q^{a_rN} : 1 \le r \le s, \text{ no two } a_r\text{'s are same}\}$. Let V be the following Vandermonde matrix;

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & q^{a_1} & q^{2a_1} & \cdots & q^{(s-1)a_1} \\ 1 & q^{a_2} & q^{2a_2} & \cdots & q^{(s-1)a_2} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\ 1 & q^{a_s} & q^{2a_s} & \cdots & q^{(s-1)a_s} \end{bmatrix}$$

Since det $(V) = \prod_{p \neq r} (q^{a_p} - q^{a_r}) \neq 0$, it follows that elements of the set $\{q^{a_rN} : 1 \leq r \leq s, \text{ no two } a_r$'s are same} are linealy independent. Next, we have

$$T_i \sim \alpha_d^i (\alpha_d^*)^i \sim (1 - q^{2dN+2d})(1 - q^{2dN+4d}) \cdots (1 - q^{2dN+2dm}),$$

$$\Rightarrow T_i = C_i q^{m_i N} (1 - q^{2dN+2d}) \cdots (1 - q^{2dN+2dm})$$

for some $m_i \in \mathbb{N}$ and nonzero constant C_i . If we expand the right hand side, we get 2^m terms of the form $q^{b_r}q^{a_rN}$ such that all a_r 's are different. Let us assume that $\sum_{i=1}^j c_i T_i = 0$. Since T_j has 2^j terms of the form q^{a_rN} and $2^j > \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} 2^i$, we get $c_j q^{sN} = 0$ for some $s \in \mathbb{N}$ which further implies that $c_j = 0$. Repeating the same argument, we get $c_i = 0$ for all $1 \le i \le j$ and this completes the proof.

We will recall from [3] some results that will be needed to prove our main claim.

Lemma 3.6. Let $w \in W_n$. Then there exist polynomials $p_1^{(w,n)}, p_2^{(w,n)}, \cdots, p_{\ell(w_n)}^{(w,n)}$ with noncommuting variables $\pi_w^n(u_j^{N_n})$'s and a permutation σ of $\{1, 2, \cdots, \ell(w_n)\}$ such that for all $r_1^n, r_2^n, \cdots, r_{\ell(w_n)}^n \in \mathbb{N}$, one has

$$p_j^{(w,n)} \sim 1^{\otimes \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \ell(w_i)} \otimes 1^{\otimes \sigma(j)-1} \otimes \sqrt{1 - q^{2d_{\sigma(j)}N}} S^* \otimes 1^{\otimes \ell(w_n) - \sigma(j)}$$
(3.1)

on the subspace generated by standard basis elements having e_0 at $(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \ell(w_i) + \sigma(k))^{th}$ place for k < j.

Proof: See the proof of the Lemma 3.4 in [3].

An element w of W_n can be written in a reduced form as: $\psi_{1,k_1}^{(\epsilon_1)}(w)\psi_{2,k_2}^{(\epsilon_2)}(w)\cdots\psi_{n,k_n}^{(\epsilon_n)}(w)$ for some choices of $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \cdots, \epsilon_n$ and k_1, k_2, \cdots, k_n where $\epsilon_r \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ and $n-r+1 \leq k_r \leq n$ with the convention that,

Case 1: $\mathfrak{sl}(n+1)$

$$\psi_{r,k_r}^{\epsilon}(w) = \begin{cases} s_r s_{r-1} \cdots s_{n-k_r+1} & \text{if } \epsilon = 1,2\\ \text{empty string} & \text{if } \epsilon = 0. \end{cases}$$

Case 2: $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$

$$\psi_{r,k_r}^{\epsilon}(w) = \begin{cases} s_{n-r+1}s_{n-r+2}\cdots s_{k_r} & \text{if } \epsilon = 1, \\ s_{n-r+1}s_{n-r+2}\cdots \dots s_{n-1}s_ns_{n-1}\cdots s_{k_r} & \text{if } \epsilon = 2, \\ \text{empty string} & \text{if } \epsilon = 0. \end{cases}$$

Case 3: $\mathfrak{so}(2n)$

$$\psi_{r,k_r}^{\epsilon}(w) = \begin{cases} s_{n-r+1}s_{n-r+2}\cdots s_{k_r} & \text{if } \epsilon = 1, \\ s_{n-r+1}s_{n-r+2}\cdots \dots s_{n-1}s_ns_{n-2}s_{n-3}\cdots s_{k_r} & \text{if } \epsilon = 2, \\ \text{empty string} & \text{if } \epsilon = 0. \end{cases}$$

For details, we refer the reader to ([6] or subsection 2.2 in [3]). We call the word $\psi_{r,k_r}^{(\epsilon_r)}(w)$ the r^{th} part w_r of w. For type C_n and D_n , let $M_n^i = n - i + 1$ and $N_n^i = N_n - n + i$ and for type A_n , let $M_n^i = 1$ and $N_n^i = i + 1$.

Lemma 3.7. Let $w \in W_n$ be of the form $w = w_{i+1}w_{i+2}\cdots w_l$, $l \leq n$ and let V_w be the associated $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$ -module. Then for each $M_n^i \leq k \leq N_n^i$, there exists unique $r_w(k) \in \{M_n^{i+l}, \cdots, N_n^{i+l}\}$ such that

$$\pi^n_w(u^k_{r_w(k)})(e_0\otimes e_0\otimes\cdots\otimes e_0)=Ce_0\otimes e_0\otimes\cdots\otimes e_0$$

where C is a nonzero real number. Moreover,

 $1. \ \pi_w^n(u_{r_w(k)}^j)(e_0 \otimes e_0 \otimes \dots \otimes e_0) = 0 \ for \ j \in \{M_n^i, \dots, N_n^i\}/\{k\}.$ $2. \ \pi_w^n((u_{r_w(k)}^k)^*)(e_0 \otimes e_0 \otimes \dots \otimes e_0) = Ce_0 \otimes e_0 \otimes \dots \otimes e_0.$ $3. \ \pi_w^n((u_{r_w(k)}^j)^*)(e_0 \otimes e_0 \otimes \dots \otimes e_0) = 0 \ for \ j \in \{M_n^i, \dots, N_n^i\}/\{k\}.$

Proof: In Lemma 3.6 in [3], explicit description of the function $r_w(k)$ is given. Using that and diagram representation, one can verify the claim.

The following lemma gives some linearly independent endomorphisms which when applied to a fixed vector of the form $e_0 \otimes v \otimes e_0^{\otimes \ell(w_n)}$ give all matrix units of the first component and the components in the n^{th} part. More precisely,

Lemma 3.8. Let $w \in W_n$. Then there exist a permutation σ of $\{1, 2, \dots, \ell(w_n)\}$ and polynomials $g_0^{(w,n)}, g_1^{(w,n)}, \dots, g_{\ell(w_n)}^{(w,n)}$ and $g_{1*}^{(w,n)}, \dots, g_{\ell(w_n)*}^{(w,n)}$ with variables $\chi_w^n(u_j^{N_n})$'s and $\chi_w^n((u_j^{N_n})^*)$'s such that

1.

$$(g_{0}^{(w,n)})^{r_{0}^{n}}(g_{1*}^{(w,n)})^{s_{\sigma(1)}^{n}}(g_{1}^{(w,n)})^{r_{\sigma(1)}^{n}}(g_{2*}^{(w,n)})^{s_{\sigma(2)}^{n}}(g_{2}^{(w,n)})^{r_{\sigma(2)}^{n}}\cdots(g_{\ell(w_{n})*}^{(w,n)})^{s_{\sigma(\ell(w_{n}))}^{n}}(g_{\ell(w_{n})}^{(w,n)})^{r_{\sigma(\ell(w_{n}))}^{n}}(e_{0}\otimes v\otimes e_{0}^{\otimes\ell(w_{n})}) = Ce_{r_{0}^{n}}\otimes v\otimes e_{r_{1}^{n}-s_{1}^{n}}\otimes\cdots\otimes e_{r_{\ell(w_{n})}^{n}-s_{\ell(w_{n})}^{n}}$$

where $v \in c_{00}(\mathbb{Z})^{\otimes n-1} \otimes c_{00}(\mathbb{N})^{\otimes \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \ell(w_k)}$, $r_0^n \in \mathbb{N}, r_i^n, s_i^n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r_i^n \ge s_i^n$ for $1 \le i \le \ell(w_n)$.

2. The elements of the set

$$\left\{ (g_0^{(w,n)})^{r_0^n} (g_{1*}^{(w,n)})^{s_{\sigma(1)}^n} (g_1^{(w,n)})^{r_{\sigma(1)}^n} (g_{2*}^{(w,n)})^{s_{\sigma(2)}^n} (g_2^{(w,n)})^{r_{\sigma(2)}^n} \cdots (g_{\ell(w_n)*}^{(w,n)})^{s_{\sigma(\ell(w_n))}^n} (g_{\ell(w_n)}^{(w,n)})^{r_{\sigma(\ell(w_n))}^n} : r_0^n \in \mathbb{N}, r_i^n, s_i^n \in \mathbb{N}, r_i^n \ge s_i^n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

are linearly independent endomorphisms.

Proof: Define

$$g_0^{(w,n)} := \chi_w^n(u_{N_n - \ell(w_n)}^{N_n})$$

Since the endomorphism $\pi_w^n(u_{N_n-\ell(w_n)}^{N_n})$ is of the form $1^{\otimes \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \ell(w_k)} \otimes q^{m_1N} \otimes q^{m_2N} \otimes \cdots \otimes q^{m_{\ell(w_n)}N}$, we get

$$g_0^{(w,n)} = \chi_w^n(u_{N_n-\ell(w_n)}^{N_n}) = \chi_e^n * \pi_w^n(u_{N_n-\ell(w_n)}^{N_n}) = \chi_e^n(u_{N_n}^{N_n}) \otimes \pi_w^n(u_{N_n-\ell(w_n)}^{N_n})$$

$$\sim \underbrace{S^* \otimes 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1}_{n \text{ times}} \otimes 1^{\otimes \sum_{k=1}^n \ell(w_k)}$$
(3.2)

on the whole vector space. For $1 \leq j \leq \ell(w_n)$, let $h_j^{(w,n)}$ be the polynomial obtained by replacing the action π_w^n in the polynomial $p_j^{(w,n)}$ given in Lemma 3.6 with χ_w^n . Define

$$g_j^{(w,n)} := (g_0^{(w,n)})^* h_j^{(w,n)}$$

where s = degree of $p_j^{(w,n)}$. Hence we have

$$g_j^{(w,n)} = (g_0^{(w,n)})^* h_j^{(w,n)} \sim \underbrace{1 \otimes 1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1}_{n \text{ times}} \otimes p_j^{(w,n)}$$
(3.3)

on the subspace generated by standard orthonormal basis elements having e_0 at $(n + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \ell(w_i) + \sigma(k))^{th}$ place for k < j. Define $g_{j*}^{(w,n)} = (g_j^{(w,n)})^*$. Now part (1) of the claim follows from Lemma 3.6. Further it follows from first part of the claim that possible dependency can occur among the elements of the type:

$$\{(g_0^{(w,n)})^{r_0^n}(g_{1*}^{(w,n)})^{p_{\sigma(1)}^n}(g_1^{(w,n)})^{r_{\sigma(1)}^n}(g_{2*}^{(w,n)})^{p_{\sigma(2)}^n}(g_2^{(w,n)})^{r_{\sigma(2)}^n}\cdots \cdots (g_{\ell(w_n)*}^{(w,n)})^{p_{\sigma(\ell(w_n))}^n}(g_{\ell(w_n)}^{(w,n)})^{r_{\sigma(\ell(w_n))}^n}:r_i^n-p_i^n=c_i^n\}.$$

where c_i^n are arbitrary but fixed natural number. From Lemma 3.6, it follows that

$$(g_j^{(w,n)})^r = (g_0^{(w,n)})^* h_j^{(w,n)} \sim 1^{\otimes (n+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \ell(w_i))} \otimes 1^{\otimes (\sigma(j)-1)} \otimes (\sqrt{1-q^{2d_iN}}S^*)^r \otimes 1^{\otimes (\ell(w_n)-\sigma(j))}.$$

on the vector subspace generated by standard orthonormal basis elements having e_0 at $(n + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \ell(w_i) + \sigma(k))^{th}$ place for each k < j. Employing this and Lemma 3.5, we get the claim.

If one counts the number of linearly independent endomorphisms given in part (2) of the lemma, one can show that $GKdim(\mathcal{O}_q(G)) \geq 2\ell(n) + 1$. To get the upper bound, we need to extend this result.

Lemma 3.9. Let $w \in W$ and χ_w^n be the associated action of $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$ in the vector space $c_{00}(\mathbb{Z})^{\otimes n} \otimes c_{00}(\mathbb{N})^{\otimes \ell(w)}$. Then for each $1 \leq i < n$, there exist endomorphisms $P_1^i, P_2^i, \cdots P_{N_i}^i$ and $R_1^i, R_2^i, \cdots R_{N_i}^i$ in $C(G_q)$ such that for all $1 \leq j \leq N_i$, one has

$$P_j^i(v \otimes e_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_0) = C(\chi_{w_1w_2\cdots w^i}^i(u_j^{N_i})v) \otimes e_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_0$$

$$R_j^i(v \otimes e_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_0) = C((\chi_{w_1w_2\cdots w^i}^i(u_j^{N_i}))^*v) \otimes e_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_0$$

where $v \in c_{00}(\mathbb{Z})^{\otimes n} \otimes c_{00}(\mathbb{N})^{\otimes \sum_{j=1}^{i} \ell(w_j)}$ and *C* is a nonzero constant.

Proof: Define the endomorphisms

$$\begin{split} P_j^i &:= \chi_w^n(u_{r_{w^{i+1}w^{i+2}\cdots w^n}(j)}^{N_n^i}) \\ R_j^i &:= (\chi_w^n(u_{r_{w^{i+1}w^{i+2}\cdots w^n}(j)}^{N_n^i}))^* \end{split}$$

for $1 \leq j \leq N_i$. By applying Lemma 3.7, the claim follows immediately.

Lemma 3.10. Let $w \in W$ and χ_w^n be the associated action of $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$ in the vector space $c_{00}(\mathbb{Z})^{\otimes n} \otimes c_{00}(\mathbb{N})^{\otimes \ell(w)}$. Then for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, there exist permutations σ_i of $\{1, 2, \dots, \ell(w_i)\}$ and polynomials $g_0^{(w,i)}, g_1^{(w,i)}, g_2^{(w,i)} \cdots, g_{\ell(w_i)}^{(w,i)}, g_{1*}^{(w,i)}, \cdots, g_{\ell(w_i)*}^{(w,i)}$ with noncommutative variables $\chi_w^n(u_s^r)$'s and $\chi_w^n((u_s^r)^*)$'s such that

$$\begin{array}{l} 1. \\ & \overbrace{\prod_{i=1}^{n} (g_{1*}^{(w,i)})^{p_{\sigma_{i}(1)}^{i}}(g_{1}^{(w,n)})^{r_{\sigma_{i}(1)}^{i}}(g_{2*}^{(w,i)})^{p_{\sigma_{i}(2)}^{i}}(g_{2}^{(w,i)})^{r_{\sigma_{i}(2)}^{i}} \cdots (g_{\ell(w_{i})*}^{(w,i)})^{p_{\sigma_{i}(\ell(w_{i}))}^{i}}} \\ & (g_{\ell_{i}}^{(w,i)})^{r_{\sigma_{i}(\ell_{i})}^{i}} \prod_{i=1}^{i} (g_{0}^{(w,i)})^{r_{0}^{i}}(e_{0} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{0}) = Ce_{r_{0}^{n}} \otimes e_{r_{0}^{n-1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{r_{1}^{1}} \otimes e_{r_{1}^{1}-p_{1}^{1}} \otimes e_{r_{2}^{1}-p_{2}^{1}} \otimes \cdots \\ & \cdots e_{r_{\ell(w_{1})}^{1}-p_{\ell(w_{1})}^{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{r_{1}^{n}-p_{1}^{n}} \otimes \otimes e_{r_{2}^{n}-p_{2}^{n}} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{r_{\ell(w_{n})}^{n}-p_{\ell(w_{n})}^{n}} \\ & \text{where } r_{0}^{j} \in \mathbb{N}, r_{j}^{i}, p_{j}^{i} \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } r_{j}^{i} \geq p_{j}^{i} \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq \ell(w_{i}) \text{ and } 1 \leq i \leq n. \end{array}$$

2. The elements of the set

$$\begin{cases} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (g_{1*}^{(w,i)})^{p_{\sigma_{i}(1)}^{i}} (g_{1}^{(w,n)})^{r_{\sigma_{i}(1)}^{i}} (g_{2*}^{(w,i)})^{p_{\sigma_{i}(2)}^{i}} (g_{2}^{(w,i)})^{r_{\sigma_{i}(2)}^{i}} \cdots (g_{\ell_{i*}}^{(w,i)})^{p_{\sigma_{i}(\ell_{i})}^{i}} \\ (g_{\ell_{i}}^{(w,i)})^{r_{\sigma_{i}(\ell_{i})}^{i}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (g_{0}^{(w,i)})^{r_{0}^{i}} : r_{0}^{i} \in \mathbb{N}, r_{j}^{i} \ge p_{j}^{i} \text{ for } 1 \le j \le \ell(w_{i}) \text{ and } 1 \le i \le n \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

are linearly independent endomorphisms.

Proof: For $0 \leq j \leq \ell(w_n)$, let $g_j^{(w,n)}$ and $g_{j*}^{(w,n)}$ be the polynomials as given in Lemma 3.8 and σ_n be the associated permutation. To define permutations σ_i and polynomials $g_j^{(w,i)}$ and $g_{j*}^{(w,i)}$ for $0 \leq j \leq \ell(w_i)$ and $1 \leq i < n$ we view $w_1 w_2 \cdots w_i$ as an element of Weyl group of G of rank i. Therefore we can define polynomial $g_j^{(w_1 w_2 \cdots w_i,i)}$ and the permutation σ_i from Proposition 3.8. Replace the variables $\chi_{w_1 w_2 \cdots w_i}^i(u_k^{N_i})$ with P_k^i and $\chi_{w_1 w_2 \cdots w_i}^i((u_k^{N_i})^*)$ with R_k^i for $1 \leq k \leq N_i$ in the polynomials $g_j^{(w_1 w_2 \cdots w_i,i)}$ and $g_{j*}^{(w_1 w_2 \cdots w_i,i)}$ to define the polynomial $g_j^{(w,i)}$ and $g_{j*}^{(w,i)}$ respectively for all $0 \leq j \leq \ell(w_i)$. Now both parts of the claim follows from Lemma 3.8 and Lemma 3.9.

Define

$$\xi_{G_q} = \begin{cases} \{u_j^i : 1 \le i, j \le N_n\} \cup \{1\} & \text{for } \mathcal{O}_q(G) = \mathcal{O}(SU_q(n+1)) \text{ or } \mathcal{O}_q(SP(2n)), \\ \{u_j^i : 1 \le i, j \le N_n\} \cup \{z_j^i : 1 \le i, j \le 2^n\} \cup \{1\} & \text{for } \mathcal{O}_q(G) = \mathcal{O}(\operatorname{Spin}_q(n)). \end{cases}$$

Then ξ_{G_q} is a generating set of $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$ containing 1. We will now prove our main result.

Theorem 3.11. Let ω_n be the longest element of the Weyl group of G. Then one has

$$GKdim(\mathcal{O}_q(G)) = 2\ell(\omega_n) + n.$$

Proof: From Lemma 3.4, it is enough to show that $\operatorname{GKdim}(\mathcal{O}_q(G)) \geq 2\ell(w_n) + n$. Since the homomorphism $\chi_{\omega_n}^n$ is faithful, we will without loss of generality work with the algebra $\chi_{\omega_n}^n(\mathcal{O}_q(G))$. Take the generating set Υ to be $\chi_{\omega_n}^n(\xi_{G_q}) \cup \chi_{\omega_n}^n(\xi_{G_q}^*)$. Define

$$M_0 := \max\{ \text{degree of } g_j^{(\omega_n, i)} : 0 \le j \le \ell((\omega_n)_i), 1 \le i \le n \}.$$

Then by part (2) of Lemma 3.10, we have

$$\operatorname{GKdim}(\mathcal{O}_q(G)) \ge \overline{\lim} \, \frac{\ln \dim(\Upsilon^{M_0 k})}{\ln M_0 k} \ge \overline{\lim} \, \frac{\ln \frac{\binom{(k+2\ell(\omega_n)+n-1)}{2}}{2}}{\ln M_0 k} = 2\ell(\omega_n) + n.$$

This completes the proof.

Remark 3.12. The proof we have given here is very rigid in the sense that it largely depends upon a particular way of representing the algebra. There must be a canonical way of computing GKdim of these algebras. In our view, the main obstruction is to get Lemma 3.7 in a more general set up.

Corollary 3.13. One has

- $GKdim(\mathcal{O}_q(SU(n+1))) = n^2 + 2n = dim(SU(n+1)).$
- $GKdim(\mathcal{O}_q(SP(2n))) = 2n^2 + n = dim(SP(2n)).$

• $GKdim(\mathcal{O}_q(SO(2n))) = GKdim(\mathcal{O}_q(Spin(2n))) = 2n^2 - n = dim(SO(2n)).$

Proof: Let ω_n be the longest word of $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$.

Case 1: $O_q(SU(n+1))$.

In this case, the r^{th} -part of ω_n is $s_r s_{r-1} \cdots s_1$ for $1 \leq r \leq n$. Hence $\ell(\omega_n) = n(n+1)/2$. Therefore by Theorem 3.11, we have

GKdim(
$$\mathcal{O}_q(SU(n+1))) = \frac{2n(n+1)}{2} + n = n^2 + 2n$$

Case 2: $\mathcal{O}_q(SP(2n))$.

For each $1 \leq r \leq n$, the r^{th} -part of ω_n is $s_r s_{r+1} \cdots s_{n-1} s_n s_{n-1} \cdots s_{r+1} s_r$. Hence by applying Theorem 3.11, we get

$$\operatorname{GKdim}(\mathcal{O}_q(SP(2n))) = 2\ell(\omega_n) + n = 2n^2 + n.$$

Case 3: $\mathcal{O}_q(\operatorname{Spin}(2n))$.

For each $1 \leq r \leq n$, the r^{th} -part of ω_n is $s_r s_{r+1} \cdots s_{n-2} s_{n-1} s_n s_{n-2} \cdots s_{r+1} s_r$. Hence $\ell(\omega_n) = n^2 - n$. Therefore from Theorem 3.11, we get

$$\operatorname{GKdim}(\mathcal{O}_q(\operatorname{Spin}(2n))) = 2\ell(\omega_n) + n = 2n^2 - n.$$

Moreover since the polynomials $g_0^{(\omega_n,k)}$, $g_j^{(\omega_n,k)}$ and $g_{j*}^{(\omega_n,k)}$ given in Lemma 3.10 involve variables u_j^i 's which are in $\mathcal{O}(SO_q(2n))$, we get

$$\operatorname{GKdim}(\mathcal{O}_q(SO(2n))) = \operatorname{GKdim}(\mathcal{O}_q(\operatorname{Spin}(2n))).$$

This completes the proof.

4 Quotient spaces

Fix a subset $S \subset \Pi$ and a subgroup L of $\mathbb{T}^{\#S^c}$. Let $\mathcal{O}(G_q/K_q^{S,L})$ be the quotient Hopf *subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$ (see page 5, [10]). If S is the empty set ϕ , define $W_{\phi} = \{id\}$. For a nonempty set S, define W_S to be the subgroup of W_n generated by the simple reflections s_{α} with $\alpha \in S$. Let

$$W^S := \{ w \in W_n : \ell(s_\alpha w) > \ell(w) \quad \forall \alpha \in S \}.$$

Define the algebra $\mathcal{P}(C(L))$ to be the quotient of $\mathcal{P}(C(\mathbb{T}^m))$ by the ideal consisting of polynomials vanishing in L.

Theorem 4.1. Let ω_n^S be the longest word of W^S , m be the cardinality of S and k be the rank of L. Then the homomorphism

$$\chi_{\omega_n^S}^n : \mathcal{O}(G_q/K_q^{S,L}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(C(\mathbb{T}))^{\otimes m} \otimes \mathcal{P}_q(\mathscr{T})^{\otimes \ell(\omega_n^S)}$$

is faithful. Moreover, the image $\chi_{\omega_n^S}^n(\mathcal{O}(G_q/K_q^{S,L}))$ is contained in the algebra $\mathcal{P}(C(L)) \otimes \mathcal{P}_q(\mathscr{T})^{\otimes \ell(\omega_n^S)}$.

Lemma 4.2. Let L be a subgroup of \mathbb{T}^m of rank k. Then there exists an algebra isomorphism

$$\Phi: \mathcal{P}(C(L)) \to \mathcal{P}(C(\mathbb{T}))^{\otimes k}.$$

Proof: Using Fourier transform, one can identify dual group \hat{L} as a subgroup of \mathbb{Z}^m isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}^k . Fix a linear isomorphism $\phi: \mathbb{Z}^k \to \hat{L}$. Applying inverse Fourier transform and using Pontriagin duality, one can identify points of \mathbb{T}^k with points of L via the monomial map $\hat{\phi}$. This induces an isomorphism

$$\Phi: \mathcal{P}(C(L)) \to \mathcal{P}(C(\mathbb{T}))^{\otimes k}$$
$$= q(\hat{\phi}(t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_k)).$$

such that $\Phi(g)(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k) = g(\phi(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k))$

Remark 4.3. Suppose that the polynomials $\{g_i(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m) : 1 \le i \le m\}$ generate the algebra $\mathcal{P}(C(L))$. Then from the Lemma 4.2, there exist monomials $\{h_i(g_1, g_2, \cdots, g_m) : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$ such that $h_i(g_1, g_2, \cdots, g_m)(t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_m) = t_i$ for $1 \le i \le k$. Hence the elements of the set

$$\{\prod_{i=1}^{n} (h_i(g_1, g_2, \cdots , g_m))^{r_i} : r_i \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \le i \le k\}$$

are linearly independent polynomials.

Let S_1 be the empty subset of Π . For $2 \le m \le n$, define S_m to be the set $\{1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$ if $\mathcal{O}_q(G) = \mathcal{O}(SU_q(n+1))$ and $\{n-m+2, \cdots, n\}$ if $\mathcal{O}_q(G) = \mathcal{O}_q(SP(2n))$. If $L = \mathbb{T}^m$ then $\mathcal{O}(G_q/K_q^{S_{n-m+1},L})$ is same as $\mathcal{O}(SU_q(n+1)/SU_q(n+1-m))$ or $\mathcal{O}(SP_q(2n)/SP_q(2n-2m))$ if $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$ is $\mathcal{O}_q(SU(n+1))$ or $\mathcal{O}_q(SP(2n))$ respectively.

Theorem 4.4. Let $\omega_n^{S_{n-m+1}}$ be the longest element of the $W^{S_{n-m+1}}$ and k be the rank of L. Then one has

$$GKdim \ \mathcal{O}(G_q/K_q^{S_{n-m+1},L}) = 2\ell(\omega_n^{S_{n-m+1}}) + k.$$

Proof: By Theorem 4.1, the algebra $\mathcal{O}(G_q/K_q^{S_{n-m+1},L})$ can be viewed as a subalgebra of $\mathcal{P}(C(\mathbb{T}))^{\otimes k} \otimes \mathcal{P}_q(\mathscr{T})^{\otimes \ell(\omega_n^{S_{n-m+1}})}$. Hence using properties of GKdim, we get

GKdim
$$\mathcal{O}(G_q/K_q^{S_{n-m+1},L}) \le 2\ell(\omega_n^{S_{n-m+1}}) + k.$$

To show the equality, observe that

- 1. for an element $w \in W^{S_{n-m+1}}$ and $1 \leq r \leq n-m$, the r^{th} -part $w_r = \psi_{r,k_r}^{(\epsilon_r)}(w)$ is identity element of W_n . Hence w can be written uniquely as $w = w_{n-m+1}w_{n-m+2}\cdots w_n$.
- 2. It follows from the definition that entries of last m rows of $((u_j^i))$ is in the quotient algebra $\mathcal{O}(G_q/K_q^{Sn-m+1,L}).$

- 3. The polynomials $g_j^{(w,k)}$ and $g_{j*}^{(w,k)}$ for $1 \le j \le \ell_k$ and $n-m+1 \le k \le n$ involve variables consisting of entries of last m rows of $((u_j^i))$.
- 4. It follows from equation (3.2) that for $1 \le i \le m$, we have

$$g_0^{(w,i)} \sim g_i(t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_m) \otimes 1^{\otimes \ell(w)}$$

where $g_i \in \mathcal{P}(C(L))$ is the projection function t_i on i^{th} co-ordinate restricted to L. Moreover, $\{g_i(t_1, t_2, \cdots t_m) : 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ generate the algebra $\mathcal{P}(C(L))$.

5. Using remark (4.3) and Lemma 3.10, one can show that the elements of the set

$$\left\{ \overbrace{\substack{i=n-m+1}}^{n} (g_{1*}^{(w,i)})^{p_{\sigma_{i}(1)}^{i}} (g_{1}^{(w,n)})^{r_{\sigma_{i}(1)}^{i}} (g_{2*}^{(w,i)})^{p_{\sigma_{i}(2)}^{i}} (g_{2}^{(w,i)})^{r_{\sigma_{i}(2)}^{i}} \cdots (g_{\ell(w_{i})*}^{(w,i)})^{p_{\sigma_{i}(\ell(w_{i}))}^{i}} (g_{\ell(w_{i})}^{(w,i)})^{r_{\sigma_{i}(\ell(w_{i}))}^{i}} \overbrace{\prod_{i=1}^{n}}^{n} (h_{i}(g_{0}^{(w,1)}, g_{0}^{(w,2)}, \cdots, g_{0}^{(w,k)})^{r_{0}^{i}} : r_{0}^{i} \in \mathbb{N}, r_{j}^{i} \ge p_{j}^{i}$$
for $1 \le j \le \ell(w_{i})$ and $n - m + 1 \le i \le n \right\}$

are linearly independent endomorphisms.

With these facts, the same arguments used in Theorem 3.11 will prove the claim.

Corollary 4.5. One has

- $GKdim(\mathcal{O}(SU_q(n+1)/SU_q(n+1-m))) = dim(SU(n+1)/SU(n+1-m)).$
- $GKdim(\mathcal{O}(SP_q(2n)/SP_q(2n-2m))) = dim(SP(2n)/SP(2n-2m)).$

Proof: Let $\omega_n^{S_{n-m+1}}$ be the longest word of $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$. **Case 1:** $\mathcal{O}(SU_q(n+1)/SU_q(n+1-m))$. In this case, the r^{th} -part of $\omega_n^{S_{n-m+1}}$ is $s_r s_{r-1} \cdots s_1$ for $n-m+1 \leq r \leq n$. Hence $\ell(\omega_n^{S_{n-m+1}}) = \frac{n(n+1)-(n-m)(n-m+1)}{2}$. Therefore by Theorem 3.11, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{GKdim}(\mathcal{O}_q(SU(n+1))) &= n(n+1) - (n-m)(n-m+1) + m \\ &= n(n+1) + n - (n-m)(n-m+1) + (n-m) \\ &= \operatorname{dim}(SU(n+1)) - \operatorname{dim}(SU(n+1-m)) \text{ (by Corollary 3.13)} \\ &= \operatorname{dim}(SU(n+1)/SU(n+1-m)). \end{aligned}$$

Case 2: $\mathcal{O}(SP_q(2n)/SP_q(2n-2m)).$

In this case, the r^{th} -part of $\omega_n^{S_{n-m+1}}$ is $s_r s_{r+1} \cdots s_{n-1} s_n s_{n-1} \cdots s_{r+1} s_r$ for $n-m+1 \le r \le n$. Hence by applying Theorem 3.11 and following the steps of part (1), we get the claim. \Box

References

- Tedor Banica and Roland Vergnioux. Growth estimates for discrete quantum groups. Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top. 12 (2009), no. 2, 321-340.
- [2] Partha Sarathi Chakraborty and Arup Kumar Pal. Characterization of spectral triples: A combinatorial approach. arXiv:math.OA/0305157, 2003.
- [3] Partha Sarathi Chakraborty and Bipul Saurabh. Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of some simple unitarizable modules. arXiv:1709.08586, 2017.
- [4] Alessandro DAndrea, Claudia Pinzari and Stefano Rossi. Polynomial growth for compact quantum groups, topological dimension and *-regularity of the Fourier algebra. arXiv:1602.07496v2, 2016.
- [5] Izrail M. Gelfand and Alexander A. Kirillov. Sur les corps lis aux algbres enveloppantes des algbres de Lie. (French) Inst. Hautes tudes Sci. Publ. Math. No. 31, 1966, 5-19.
- [6] James E. Humphreys. *Reflection groups and Coxeter groups*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 29. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990. xii+204 pp. ISBN:
- [7] Anatoli Klimyk and Konrad Schmüdgen. *Quantum groups and their representations*. Texts and Monographs in Physics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.
- [8] Leonid I. Korogodski and Yan S. Soibelman. Algebras of functions on quantum groups. Part I, volume 56 of Mathematical Surveys and Monographs. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998.
- [9] G R Krause and T H Lenagen. Growth of algebras and Gelfand-Kirillov dimension. Revised edition. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 22. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000. x+212 pp. ISBN: 0-8218-0859-1.
- [10] Sergey Neshveyev and Lars Tuset. Quantized algebras of functions on homogeneous spaces with Poisson stabilizers. Comm. Math. Phys., 312(1):223-250, 2012.
- [11] Louis H. Rowen. Ring theory Student edition. Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1991.
- [12] Jasper V. Stokman and Mathijs S. Dijkhuizen. Quantized flag manifolds and irreducible -representations. Comm. Math. Phys. 203 (1999), no. 2, 297-324.

PARTHA SARATHI CHAKRABORTY (parthac@imsc.res.in) Institute of Mathematical Sciences (HBNI), CIT Campus, Taramani, Chennai, 600113, INDIA BIPUL SAURABH (saurabhbipul2@gmail.com) Institute of Mathematical Sciences (HBNI), CIT Campus, Taramani, Chennai, 600113, INDIA